1, 7-05; 3:57PM; ; ;19496600809 # 7/ 10

Application No.: 10/692,589 Docket No.: JCLA11007

REMARKS

I. Present Status of the Application

The Office Action rejected claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Background of the Invention (in the specification of this application) in view of Patterson et

al. (US 5,080,958).

Upon entry of the amendments in this response, claims 1, 3-6, 8 and 9 are amended;

claims 2 and 7 are canceled without prejudice; and claim 13 is newly added. Hence, claims 1, 3-

6, 8-13 remain pending in the present application, with claims 1, 5 and 6 being independent

claims. Claims 2 and 6 have been amended for further defining the scope of the invention, and

support of the amendments can be found, for example, in original claims 2 and 7, and in

specification, in paragraph [0019]. Claim 5 is rewritten in independent form and is further

defined in the scope, support of which can be found, for example, in specification in paragraph

[0021] and Fig. 5. The dependent claim 13 is added to further define the scope of claim 6,

support of which can be found, for example, in FIG. 5. Applicants believe that the foregoing

amendments do not introduce new matter. Thus, reconsideration of those claims is respectfully

requested.

II. Response to Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Office Action The Office Action rejected claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Background of the Invention (in the specification of this application) in

view of Patterson et al. (US 5,080,958). The Examiner states that Background of the Invention

Page 5 of 8

15 7-05; 3:57PM: ;19496600809 # 8/ 10

Docket No.: JCLA11007

Application No.: 10/692,589

discloses essential limitations as recited in independent claims 1 and 6, except the limitation that at least one of the dielectric layers is a ceramic dielectric layer. The Examiner, however, states

that Patterson et al. teach at least one of the dielectric layers is a ceramic dielectric layer. The

Examiner thus asserts that claimed invention is rendered obvious and unpatentable over

Background of the Invention in view of Patterson et al. Applicants respectfully traverse the

rejection as applied to the amended claims for at least the reasons set forth below.

The claimed invention provides, as claimed in the amended independent claims 1 and 6, a hybrid integrated circuit package comprising a plurality of dielectric layers wherein "at least one of the dielectric layers is a ceramic dielectric layer with one ceramic dielectric layer as a dielectric core layer," the dielectric layers are formed via a built-up method, and the dielectric layers have "a plurality of vias" therethrough. Because of its superior properties, the ceramic dielectric layer is used for producing an IC carrier with a high internal circuit density structure. For instance, the vias or through holes in the ceramic dielectric layer, compared with that in a organic dielectric layer, can have a smaller hole diameter, which is desirable for increasing the density of circuits of the patterned circuit layers.

Furthermore, the dielectric layers can be distributed, either symmetrically or non-symmetrically, on each side of the ceramic dielectric layer (i.e., the dielectric core layer) as recited in claims 3, 4, 8 and 9, and can be positioned on one side of the ceramic dielectric layer as recited in claims 5 and 10. Where the dielectric layers are positioned on one side of the ceramic dielectric layer, the ceramic dielectric layer is attached to the IC or the chip of the hybrid IC package, as recited in claims 5 and 13.

Page 6 of 8

1-_7-05; 3:57PM; ;19496600809 # 9/ 10

Application No.: 10/692,589

Docket No.: JCLA11007

Patterson et al., however, is directed to a multiple interconnect comprising a ceramic

substrate (abstract), which is shown particularly in the drawing that the ceramic substrate (item 1

in the Figure) is disposed on the bottom of the interconnect. Distinguishable from the claimed

invention, Patterson's ceramic substrate is used merely as a "base" without any vias, rather than a

"core" layer with vias. As compared with claims 5, 10 and 13 of the present invention,

Patterson's ceramic substrate apparently can be only used as a base without any vias disposed on

the side opposite to an IC package, rather than as a connecting layer with vias disposed on the

side of and being attached to an IC package.

Thus, Patterson's ceramic substrate is rather different from the ceramic dielectric layer of

this invention, and one of ordinary skills in the art would not have been motivated to combine

Patterson's teaching with the structure disclosed in Background of the Invention. Even if a

combination were made, the resulting structure would have been different from that of the

claimed invention.

Therefore, the amended claims of this invention are not rendered obvious over the prior

art references. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the grounds of rejection have

been addressed and the rejection has been overcome. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the

rejection are respectfully requested.

Page 7 of 8

1-_ 7-05; 3:57PM: ;19496600809 # 10/ 10

Application No.: 10/692,589

Docket No.: JCLA11007

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: 1/7/2005

4 Venture, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618 Tel.: (949) 660-0761

Fax: (949)-660-0809

Respectfully submitted, J.C. PATENTS

Jiawei Huang

Registration No. 43,330